

INITIAL DISCLAIMER

Dessert Finance provides due-diligence project audits for various BSC and ETH projects. Dessert Finance in no way guarantees that a project will not remove liquidity, sell off team supply, or otherwise exit scam.

Dessert Finance does the legwork and provides public information about the project in an easy-to-understand format for the common person.

Agreeing to a project audit can be seen as a sign of confidence and is generally the first sign of trust for a project, but in no way guarantees that a team will not remove liquidity ("Rug Pull"), sell off tokens, or completely exit scam. It is ultimately your responsibility to read through all documentation, social media posts, and contract code of each individual project to draw your own conclusions and set your own risk tolerance.

Dessert Finance in no way takes responsibility for any losses, nor does Dessert Finance encourage any speculative investments. The information provided in this audit is for information purposes only and should not be considered investment advice.

Table of Contents



- 1. Website Overview
- 2. ERC-20 Contract Audit
- 3. Social Media
- 4. Team Response
- 5. Token Distribution
- 6. Top Token Holders/Wallets
- 7. Location Audit
- 8. Review of Team
- 9. Potential Risk Factors
- 10. Roadmap
- 11. Overall Risk Score
- 12. Disclaimers

Website Part 1 – Overview https://acabtoken.org

Website Preview & Mobile Test




Above images are actual snapshots of the current live website of the project.

Website was registered on 04/05/2021, registration expires 04/05/2022.

This is a possible flag. We generally like to see registrations for 3 years minimum for the longevity commitment of a team to a project. We would like to see this updated in the near future.



Website Part 2 – Checklist



The website contained a few JavaScript errors. No typos, or grammatical errors and we found a valid SSL certificate allowing for access via https.


Website Part 3 – Responsive HTML5 & CSS3

No issues were found on the responsive check for the website. All elements loaded properly and browser resize was not an issue. The project has put a considerable amount of thought and effort into making sure their website looks great on all screens.

Console check came back with 2 status 500 errors and 3 404 errors which may be missing or inaccessible files (that may or may not be necessary for the project needs).

Javascript Console Errors:









GWS REPORT

Website Part 4 (GWS) – General Web Security







ERC-20 Contract Audit – Common Vulnerabilities

```
contract ACAB is Context, IBEP20, Ownable {
   using SafeMath for uint256; using Address for address;
   mapping (address => uint256) private _rOwned;
   mapping (address => uint256) private t0wned;
   mapping (address => mapping (address => uint256)) private _allowances;
   mapping (address => bool) private _isExcluded;
mapping (address => bool) private _isCharity;
address[] private _excluded;
   address[] private _charity;
   string private constant NAME = 'ACAB Token';
   string private constant _SYMBOL = 'ACAB';
   uint8  private constant _DECIMALS = 9;
   uint256 private constant _MAX = ~uint256(0);
uint256 private constant _DECIMALFACTOR = 10 *** uint256(_DECIMALS);
   uint256 private constant GRANULARITY = 100;
   uint256 private tTotal = 100000000 * DECIMALFACTOR;
   uint256 private rTotal = ( MAX - ( MAX % tTotal));
   uint256 private _tFeeTotal;
   uint256 private tBurnTotal;
   uint256 private _tCharityTotal;
   uint256 private TAX FEE = 120; // 1.2% BACK TO HOLDERS
   uint256 private BURN FEE = 120; // 1.2% BURNED
   uint256 private _CHARITY_FEE = 120; // 1.2% TO CHARITY WALLET
   uint256 private constant _MAX_TX_SIZE = 100000 * _DECIMALFACTOR;
   // Track original fees to bypass fees for charity account
uint256 private ORIG_TAX_FEE = _TAX_FEE;
   uint256 private ORIG BURN FEE = BURN FEE;
   uint256 private ORIG CHARITY FEE = CHARITY FEE;
   constructor () {
```












Transaction-Ordering Dependency

Code is truncated to fit the constraints of this document.

The code in its entirety can be viewed here.

Common vulnerabilities were not found in the ACAB Token Smart Contract as shown above.

Social Media



We were able to locate a vast variety of Social Media networks for the project including Twitter, Telegram, Facebook, and Instagram. All links have been conveniently placed below.



@acabtoken



@acabtokenofficial



Acab Token



@acabtoken

Social Presence - Final Thoughts & Suggestions for Improvement

We were able to track down multiple of social media profiles for the project. ACAB Token had Twitter, Telegram, Facebook, and Instagram profiles, all which appear to have a healthy amount of activity.

It is important to note that this is a reflect project with a 1.2% split of every transaction going to the current token holders, 1.2% being removed from circulation, and 1.2% going to a wallet to be used for charity donations. There is a 3.6% total tax on every transaction including buys, sells, and transfers.

There appears to be active dev engagement in the telegram and recent posts Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram however we found the "bios" on Instagram and Facebook to be a bit lacking with more details about the project.

Suggestions for Improvement

- 1. Update Instagram bio with more details about the project. $\sqrt{\textit{Fixed}}$
- 2. Update Facebook page's "about" information to include more details about the project. ✓ Fixed
- 3. Add an official contact email to the homepage of the website. \sqrt{Fixed}




Top Token Holders

The top 3 token holders at the block number indicated on the cover page of this report are shown below for your convenience.



Source: Etherscan.io




<u>Uniswap V2: ACAB</u> – 19.82% of the supply was in the top wallet at the time of audit. This has been identified as the main Uniswap liquidity pool.

<u>0xf83b31e83e55011f1b6a146eb327110930d49af3</u> – 7.9% of maximum supply was found in the 2nd largest wallet. We are told by the team that this is not a team wallet and someone who purchased tokens at launch.


<u>0xfc36dc20a827f5e754281e31e45a4e5deea0a5e6</u> – 5.8% of maximum supply was found in the 3rd largest wallet. We are told by the team that this is not a team wallet and someone who purchased tokens at launch.

Location Audit

The project location could not be identified at this time based on the information provided on the website or social media channels. Based on the statistics on the website, we can draw the conclusion that the team may possibly be based in the USA.



Team Overview





We were able to locate the roles of 3 team members on the website.


There are no linked in profiles for these members at this time.

Potential Signs of Risk










CHARITIES DONATED TO





The above listed are the top 6 risk indicators of the project. These are by no means assigning the project as a risky project. Every project will have the top risk indicators posted. It is crucial to note that some may be more important than others.

Potential Signs of Confidence













The above listed are the top 6 confidence indicators of the project. These are by no means assigning the project as a confident project. Every project will have the top 6 confidence indicators posted. It is crucial to note that some may be more important than others.

Roadmap

An official roadmap was not found for the project.

Disclaimer



The opinions expressed in this document are for general informational purposes only and are not intended to provide specific advice or recommendations for any individual or on any specific investment. It is only intended to provide education and public knowledge regarding BSC projects. This audit is only applied to the type of auditing specified in this report and the scope of given in the results. Other unknown security vulnerabilities are beyond responsibility. Dessert Finance only issues this report based on the attacks or vulnerabilities that already existed or occurred before the issuance of this report. For the emergence of new attacks or vulnerabilities that exist or occur in the future. Dessert Finance lacks the capability to judge its possible impact on the security status of smart contracts, thus taking no responsibility for them. The smart contract analysis and other contents of this report are based solely on the documents and materials that the contract provider has provided to Dessert Finance or was publicly available before the issuance of this report (issuance of report recorded via block number on cover page), if the documents and materials provided by the contract provider are missing, tampered, deleted, concealed or reflected in a situation that is inconsistent with the actual situation, or if the documents and materials provided are changed after the issuance of this report, Dessert Finance assumes no responsibility for the resulting loss or adverse effects. Due to the technical limitations of any organization, this report conducted by Dessert Finance still has the possibility that the entire risk cannot be completely detected. Dessert Finance disclaims any liability for the resulting losses. Desert Finance does not take part in any political views whether in agreement or disagreement as discussed by any project.

Dessert Finance provides no guarantees against the sale of team tokens or the removal of liquidity by the project audited in this document. Even projects with a low risk score have been known to pull liquidity, sell all team tokens, or exit-scam. Please exercise caution when dealing with any cryptocurrency related platforms.

The final interpretation of this statement belongs to Dessert Finance.

Dessert Finance highly advises against using cryptocurrencies as speculative investments and they should be used solely for the utility they aim to provide.

