



**Spider (SPR)**

**BEP-20 Audit**

Performed at block **10143105**

PERFORMED BY DESSERT FINANCE

FOR CONTRACT ADDRESS: **0x8f55d479aE62a6d1a92fc00539Ff093Dd3F168dA**

## INITIAL DISCLAIMER

Dessert Finance provides due-diligence project audits for various BSC projects. Dessert Finance in no way guarantees that a project will not remove liquidity, sell off team supply, or otherwise exit scam.

Dessert Finance does the legwork and provides public information about the project in an easy-to-understand format for the common person.

Agreeing to a project audit can be seen as a sign of confidence and is generally the first sign of trust for a project, but in no way guarantees that a team will not remove *all* liquidity (“Rug Pull”), sell off tokens, or completely exit scam. There is also no way to prevent private sale holders from selling off their tokens. It is ultimately your responsibility to read through all documentation, social media posts, and contract code of each individual project to draw your own conclusions and set your own risk tolerance.

Dessert Finance in no way takes responsibility for any losses, nor does Dessert Finance encourage any speculative investments. The information provided in this audit is for information purposes only and should not be considered investment advice.


# Table of Contents

1. Website Overview
2. BEP-20 Contract Audit
3. Social Media
4. Final Thoughts Web/Social
5. Top Token Holders/Wallets
6. Location Audit
7. Review of Team
8. Potential Risk Factors
9. Roadmap
10. Disclaimers



# Website Part 1 – Overview

[www.spider-global.com](http://www.spider-global.com)




Above images are actual snapshots of the current live website of the project.

Website was registered on 08/16/2021, registration expires 08/16/2022.

**X** This does not meet the 3 year minimum we like to see on new projects.



# Website Part 2 – Checklist



- ✓ Mobile Friendly
- ✗ No JavaScript Errors
- ✓ Spell Check
- ✗ SSL Certificate

The website contained some JavaScript errors. No typos were present, and we were unable to find a valid SSL certificate allowing for access via https.

No additional issues were found on the website.

# Website Part 3 – Responsive HTML5 & CSS3

No issues were found on the Mobile Friendly check for the website. All elements loaded properly and browser resize was not an issue. The team has put a considerable amount of thought and effort into making sure their website looks great on all screens.

Some JavaScript errors were found. No issues with loading elements, code, or stylesheets.

```
✖ Access to fetch at 'https://everrisecoin.azurewebsites.net/api/stats/historic' from origin 'http://www.spider-global.com' has been blocked by CORS policy: No 'Access-Control-Allow-Origin' header is present on the requested resource. If an opaque response serves your needs, set the request's mode to 'no-cors' to fetch the resource with CORS disabled.
✖ Failed to load resource:   everrisecoin.azurewebsites.net/api/stats/historic:1
net::ERR_FAILED
✖ Uncaught (in promise) TypeError: Cannot read property 'toFixed' of undefined
    at z.update (everrisecoin.js?v=37:406)
    at z.setup (everrisecoin.js?v=37:366)
    at async z.initialize (everrisecoin.js?v=37:556)
```



# Website Part 4 (GWS) – General Web Security



## SSL CERTIFICATE

A valid SSL certificate was found. Details are as follows:

Offered to: N/A

Issued by: N/A

Valid Until: N/A



## CONTACT EMAIL

A valid contact email was found on the official website. Contact email is listed as shown below:

Contact

N/A



## SPAM / MALWARE / POPUPS

No malware found

No injected spam found

No internal server errors

No popups found

Domain is marked clean by Google, McAfee, Sucuri Labs, & ESET



# BEP-20 Contract Audit – Common Vulnerabilities

```
Submitted for verification at BscScan.com on 2021-04-01

SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT

pragma solidity ^0.8.13;
pragma experimental ABIEncoderV2;

interface IERC20 {

    function totalSupply() external view returns (uint256);

    /**
     * @dev Returns the amount of tokens owned by 'account'.
     */
    function balanceOf(address account) external view returns (uint256);

    /**
     * @dev Moves 'amount' tokens from the caller's account to 'recipient'.
     * Returns a boolean value indicating whether the operation succeeded.
     * Emits a {Transfer} event.
     */
    function transfer(address recipient, uint256 amount) external returns (bool);

    /**
     * @dev Returns the remaining number of tokens that 'spender' will be
     * allowed to spend on behalf of 'owner' through {transferFrom}. This is
     * zero by default.
     * This value changes when {approve} or {transferFrom} are called.
     */
    function allowance(address owner, address spender) external view returns (uint256);

    /**
     * @dev Sets 'amount' as the allowance of 'spender' over the caller's tokens.
     * Returns a boolean value indicating whether the operation succeeded.
     *
     * IMPORTANT: Beware that changing an allowance with this method brings the risk
     * that someone may use both the old and the new allowance by unfortunate
     * transaction ordering. One possible solution to mitigate this race
     * condition is to first reduce the spender's allowance to 0 and set the
     * desired value afterwards.
     * https://github.com/ethereum/EIPs/issues/20#issuecomment-2832729
     *
     * Emits an {Approval} event.
     */
    function approve(address spender, uint256 amount) external returns (bool);

    /**
     * @dev Moves 'amount' tokens from 'sender' to 'recipient' using the
     * allowance mechanism. 'amount' is then deducted from the caller's
     * allowance.
     * Returns a boolean value indicating whether the operation succeeded.
     */
}
```

- ✓ Integer Underflow
- ✓ Integer Overflow
- ✓ Correct Token Standards Implementation
- ✓ Timestamp Dependency for Randomness
- ✓ Unexposed Private Transfer Function
- ✓ Transaction-Ordering Dependency

Code is truncated to fit the constraints of this document.

[The code in its entirety can be viewed here.](#)

The contract code is **verified** on BSCScan.

Common vulnerabilities were not found in the token's Smart Contract as shown above.



# Social Media



We were able to locate Social Media networks for the project including Twitter and Telegram. All links have been conveniently placed below.



[Twitter](#)



[Telegram](#)

**X** At least 3 social media networks were found.

# Social and Web – Final Thoughts & Suggestions for Improvement

We were able to track down social media channels for the project. Social Media channels included Twitter and Telegram. All social media channels had a healthy amount of activity.

It is important to note that it appears there is a 24% tax on EVERY transaction including buys and sells. 6% goes to providing liquidity, 6% is distributed to the token holders and 12% is burned.

We were unable to find a contact email on the main website. We would like to see this added as a more official means of communication.

We noticed in the projects telegram that many of the members participating in conversation were later listed as deleted accounts. We cannot confirm if these were bot accounts or people who all had their accounts deleted the same day.

## Suggestions for Improvement

1. Update domain registration to 3+ years
2. Add SSL Certificate to the website
3. Fix Javascript errors on website
4. Add contact email or contact form to the website
5. Consider adding additional social media channels




# Top Token Holders

The entire supply was in a few wallets at the time of audit. We expect this to change as the project goes through initial distribution phases. Please use the link below to view the most up-to-date holder information.

[Click here to view the most up-to-date list of holders](#)


Spider Top 100 Token Holders

Source: BscScan.com




## Location Audit

We were unable to identify a primary location for the project at this time or a location has not been declared.



# Team Overview



We were able to find a team page on the whitepaper.

**We were unable to confirm any listed team members involvement with this project.**

# Potential Signs of Risk



1 YEAR DOMAIN  
REGISTRATION



PROJECT HAS COMMON USE-CASE  
(REFLECT TOKEN)



NO SSL ON WEBSITE



JAVASCRIPT ERROR  
ON WEBSITE



LESS THAN 3 SOCIAL MEDIA  
PROFILES



NO CONTACT EMAIL ON WEBSITE

*Risk Indicators continue onto second page.*

## Potential Signs of Risk (cont..)



CANNOT CONFIRM  
TEAM MEMBER  
INVOLVEMENT



CANNOT CONFIRM LISTED  
PARENT COMPANY  
INVOLVEMENT

*The above listed are the top 8 risk indicators of the project.*

# Roadmap

*A roadmap was not found on the official website.*



# Disclaimer



The opinions expressed in this document are for general informational purposes only and are **not intended to provide specific advice or recommendations for any individual or on any specific investment**. It is only intended to provide education and public knowledge regarding BSC projects. This audit is only applied to the type of auditing specified in this report and the scope of given in the results. Other unknown security vulnerabilities are beyond responsibility. Dessert Finance only issues this report based on the attacks or vulnerabilities that already existed or occurred before the issuance of this report. For the emergence of new attacks or vulnerabilities that exist or occur in the future, Dessert Finance lacks the capability to judge its possible impact on the security status of smart contracts, thus taking no responsibility for them. The smart contract analysis and other contents of this report are based solely on the documents and materials that the contract provider has provided to Dessert Finance or was publicly available before the issuance of this report (issuance of report recorded via block number on cover page), if the documents and materials provided by the contract provider are missing, tampered, deleted, concealed or reflected in a situation that is inconsistent with the actual situation, or if the documents and materials provided are changed after the issuance of this report, Dessert Finance assumes no responsibility for the resulting loss or adverse effects. Due to the technical limitations of any organization, this report conducted by Dessert Finance still has the possibility that the entire risk cannot be completely detected. Dessert Finance disclaims any liability for the resulting losses.

Dessert Finance provides no guarantees against the sale of team tokens or the removal of liquidity by the project audited in this document. Even projects with a low risk score have been known to pull liquidity, sell all team tokens, or exit-scam. Please exercise caution when dealing with any cryptocurrency related platforms.

The final interpretation of this statement belongs to Dessert Finance.

Dessert Finance highly advises against using cryptocurrencies as speculative investments and they should be used solely for the utility they aim to provide.



# Thank You

DESSERT FINANCE PROJECT AUDIT HAS BEEN COMPLETED FOR SPIDER (SPR). 1 DSRT HAS BEEN SENT TO AUDITED PROJECT'S CONTRACT ADDRESS FOR VERIFICATION OF THIS AUDIT AT BLOCK NUMBER: **10143105**

[www.dessertswap.finance](http://www.dessertswap.finance)  
<https://t.me/dessertswap>